

The Epstein Files: A Timeline

[This summary was prepared April 4, 2026, by Claude Sonnet 4.6 Extended.]

Coverage Period: November 2025 – April 2026

Scope: The fifth and sixth releases of Epstein files under the Epstein Files Transparency Act, all major letters and official documents, congressional oversight actions, and key political controversies.

Executive Summary

Between January 30 and April 4, 2026, the DOJ's handling of the Epstein Files Transparency Act (EFTA) generated a cascading sequence of bipartisan congressional pushback, investigative press disclosures, and institutional conflict. The DOJ's fifth release on January 30 — claiming to complete its obligations under the law — was immediately challenged on the grounds that roughly half of 6 million potentially responsive pages remained withheld or redacted. A series of formal letters, unredacted file reviews, hearings, and subpoenas followed. The controversy ultimately contributed to the firing of Attorney General Pam Bondi on April 2, 2026.

Key controversies include: (1) the DOJ releasing only ~3.5 million of 6+ million potentially responsive pages; (2) the "congressional surveillance" scandal in which DOJ was found tracking lawmakers' search histories during file reviews; (3) the disclosure that FBI interview records containing sexual assault allegations against President Trump were initially withheld and only partially restored; and (4) a bipartisan subpoena issued for Bondi's deposition, unprecedented in modern congressional history.

Background: The Epstein Files Transparency Act

The Epstein Files Transparency Act (Pub. L. No. 119-38) was signed by President Trump on **November 19, 2025**. It required the DOJ to publicly release all records relating to Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell within 30 days — by **December 19, 2025** — with narrow exceptions for victim-identifying information, CSAM, active investigations, and classified national security material. The Act explicitly prohibited withholding records based on "embarrassment, reputational harm, or political sensitivity, including to any government official, public figure, or foreign dignitary."

The December 19 deadline was missed. Only a partial release occurred. Blanche publicly acknowledged DOJ would not meet the deadline, triggering immediate bipartisan criticism. By early January 2026, per a DOJ letter to Judge Engelmayer, less than 1% of responsive files had been released.

Timeline of Key Documents and Events

December 19, 2025 — First DOJ Letter to Congress (Blanche, Section 3 Partial Report)

Document: DOJ Section 3 Partial Letter to Congress (Dec. 19, 2025)

Deputy AG Todd Blanche notified Congress of a partial production, citing the "mass volume of material" requiring ongoing review. The letter acknowledged that DOJ would not produce all responsive materials by the statutory deadline, pledging completion within "the next two weeks." It described:

- Over 200 DOJ attorneys working on the review
- An unknown but large number of potentially responsive pages still unreviewed
- Ongoing court unsealing proceedings in SDNY
- Multiple components providing documents that same week

Key excerpt: *"Never in American history has a President or the [DOJ]... committed to this level of transparency."*

Controversy: The December 19 deadline was a legal requirement. Republicans including Thomas Massie and Marjorie Taylor Greene, as well as Democrats, condemned the partial release as a statutory violation.

December 24, 2025 — Blumenthal/Murkowski/Merkley Lead OIG Audit Request

Document PDF: [Letter to DOJ OIG re: Epstein Release](#)

Press Release: [Merkley.senate.gov](https://merkleysenate.gov)

Signatories (12 senators, bipartisan): Blumenthal (D-CT), Murkowski (R-AK), Merkley (D-OR), Whitehouse (D-RI), Hirono (D-HI), Van Hollen (D-MD), Booker (D-NJ), Schiff (D-CA), Durbin (D-IL), Andy Kim (D-NJ), Klobuchar (D-MN), Peters (D-MI)

Addressed to Acting Inspector General Don Berthiaume, the letter requested the DOJ OIG conduct a formal compliance audit. It cited five specific audit objectives:

1. Whether redactions properly protected all survivors

2. Whether redactions were used to shield abusers, perpetrators, or enablers
3. Whether records were withheld for any reason beyond the statute's enumerated exceptions
4. Whether all withholding was narrowly tailored
5. Whether the Department considered political factors in its disclosure decisions

Key excerpt: *"Given the Administration's historic hostility to releasing the files, politicization of the Epstein case more broadly, and failure to comply with the Epstein Files Transparency Act, a neutral assessment of its compliance with the statutory disclosure requirements is essential."*

Controversy: The letter noted that AG Bondi had given binders of supposedly new Epstein files to "right-wing influencers" rather than survivors, and that Ghislaine Maxwell had been moved to a lower security prison after two days of meetings with DAG Blanche — both of which the senators framed as evidence of political manipulation.

January 21, 2026 — House Oversight Contempt Report

Document: House Oversight Contempt Report (Draft)

The House Oversight Committee met in open session on January 21, 2026, to consider a contempt report against AG Bondi based on an August 5, 2025 subpoena she had substantially failed to comply with. The bipartisan subpoena had demanded all documents and communications relating to

Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell. The report catalogued Bondi's failure to produce responsive materials, her public misstatements about victim counts (claiming ~250 victims while FBI memos cited over 1,000), and her July 2025 determination that no "client list" existed — contradicting her own February 2025 Fox News statement that the list "is sitting on my desk right now."

A separate Democratic amendment introduced by Rep. Summer Lee to hold Bondi in contempt of Congress failed 19-24 along party lines the same day.

January 30, 2026 — Fifth Major Release & Bondi/Blanche Letter to Congress

Document PDF: [January 30, 2026 DOJ Letter to Congress \(Bondi & Blanche\)](#)

Press Coverage: [Politico](#) | [PBS NewsHour](#) | [CNN Live Blog](#)

The DOJ released what it described as its final production under the Act:

Metric	Figure
Pages released in this drop	3+ million
Videos released	2,000+
Images released	180,000
Total pages released (cumulative)	~3.5 million
Pages redacted or withheld (privileges)	~200,000
Attorneys/reviewers involved	500+

Pages identified as potentially responsive	6+ million
--	------------

Key claims by Bondi and Blanche in the letter:

- The production "marks the DOJ's compliance with its production obligations under the Act"
- No materials were withheld or redacted "on the basis of embarrassment, reputational harm, or political sensitivity"
- Files collected from six primary source categories: Florida/NY Epstein cases; NY Maxwell case; Epstein death investigation; Florida case of former Epstein butler; multiple FBI investigations; OIG investigation of Epstein's death
- A formal Section 3 report listing government officials and politically exposed persons would be submitted within 15 days
- Foreign-language documents and technically incompatible files were not produced

Controversy — the "6 million vs. 3.5 million" gap: The DOJ itself had identified over 6 million potentially responsive pages but released only approximately 3.5 million, claiming the remainder were either duplicates, non-responsive after manual review, or subject to privilege claims. Critics — led by Massie and Khanna — immediately argued this constituted a continued violation of the Act. Rep. Khanna stated the DOJ had "identified over 6 million potentially responsive pages, but after releasing only about half of them... says strangely that it has fully complied with the Act."

January 31, 2026 — Raskin Letter to Blanche

Document PDF: [Raskin to Blanche, Jan. 31, 2026](#)

Press Release: [House Judiciary Democrats](#)

News coverage: [CBS News](#) | [KSAT/AP](#)

Sent by Rep. Jamie Raskin (Ranking Member, House Judiciary Committee) to DAG Todd Blanche the day after the fifth release, cc'd to Chairman Jim Jordan.

Core demands:

- Immediate arrangements for House Judiciary Committee members and staff to review the complete, unredacted Epstein files — as early as Sunday, February 1, 2026
- Blanche had publicly offered this access at the January 30 press conference; Raskin took him up on the offer

Key legal argument:

"DOJ itself claims to have identified over 6 million potentially responsive pages, but after releasing only about half of them — including over 200,000 pages that DOJ redacted or withheld — says strangely that it has fully complied with the Act."

The letter cited EFTA §§ 2(b)(1) and 2(c)(1)(A), emphasizing that redactions could only be made to protect victims' personally identifiable information — not to shield embarrassing information about officials. The review was described as "particularly urgent" because AG Bondi was scheduled to appear before the Judiciary Committee on February 11.

Simultaneously on January 31, Reps. Massie (R-KY) and Khanna (D-CA), co-authors of the EFTA, submitted their own separate letter to Blanche requesting access to unredacted files.

February 9, 2026 — Massie and Khanna Review Unredacted Files; Press Conference

News coverage: [The Hill](#) | [Axios](#) | [CNN](#)

The first congressional review of unredacted DOJ files took place on Monday, February 9. Massie and Khanna spent two hours in the DOJ annex review room and held a press conference outside.

Key findings disclosed:

- Found **six men** whose names were redacted in the publicly released files but appeared "likely incriminated" based on their context in the documents
- Some files provided to the DOJ from the FBI and the grand jury **arrived already redacted**, meaning DOJ attorneys reviewing them never had access to unredacted originals
- Massie stated one of the six men is "pretty high up" in a foreign government; another is a well-known public figure
- The reviewing setup: Members must travel to a DOJ annex, use 4 DOJ-owned computers, no electronic devices permitted, staff prohibited from assisting

Massie: *"There are six men. We spent two hours in there. There are millions of files, right? And in just a few hours, we uncovered six men*

whose names were redacted but who appear to be implicated based on how the files are presented."

Khanna: *"I don't believe there is any ill intent from the career attorneys handling this, but it's clear that they haven't received the complete production because our law mandates that the FBI and the original grand jury materials must be unredacted."*

Sen. Cynthia Lummis, after also reviewing the files, stated: *"Now I see what the big deal is. And the members of Congress that have been pushing this were not wrong."*

February 10, 2026 — Khanna Names Six Men on House Floor

Rep. Ro Khanna read six names on the House floor — protected from legal liability by the Speech and Debate Clause. On February 13, DAG Blanche publicly disputed this, asserting that only two of the six (Leslie Wexner and Sultan Ahmed bin Sulayem) had actual ties to Epstein, while the other four were "completely random people selected years ago for an FBI lineup." Khanna subsequently acknowledged the error, but blamed the DOJ for providing confusing and inconsistently redacted files.

February 11, 2026 — Bondi Testifies Before House Judiciary Committee

News coverage: [New York Times](#) | [NPR](#)

During a contentious four-hour hearing, Bondi faced bipartisan criticism over the DOJ's file release. Key exchanges:

- Bondi refused to apologize to Epstein survivors seated in the committee room, insisting Democrats should apologize to President Trump
- Raskin stated: *"You're siding with the perpetrators and you're ignoring the victims. That will be your legacy unless you act to change it. You are conducting a massive cover-up out of the Department of Justice."*
- Massie described the Epstein handling as "bigger than Watergate"
- Republicans who had been demanding more from Bondi largely refrained from pressing her at the hearing

The "burn book" / surveillance scandal: During the hearing, a photograph captured a page in Bondi's materials labeled "**Jayapal Pramila Search History**" — a list of documents Rep. Pramila Jayapal had reviewed during her unredacted file visit ~23 hours earlier. This triggered a new wave of outrage. House Speaker Mike Johnson condemned the practice, stating: *"I think members should obviously have the right to peruse those at their own speed and with their own discretion and I don't think it's appropriate for anybody to be tracking that."*

February 13, 2026 — Raskin/Garcia/Jayapal Letter on Congressional Surveillance

Document PDF: [Raskin, Garcia, Jayapal to Bondi, Feb. 13, 2026](#)

News coverage: [NBC News](#)

Signed by Raskin, Garcia (Ranking Member, House Oversight), and Jayapal, this letter:

- Accused DOJ of "spying on Members of Congress" and violating the separation of powers
- Alleged the DOJ used Jayapal's search history to prepare "partisan attacks to dodge serious oversight questions" during the Feb. 11 hearing
- Noted the DOJ's invitation letter had mentioned logging "dates and times" of reviews but **concealed that detailed search tracking was occurring**
- Cited Speech and Debate Clause protections (Eastland v. USSF; US v. Rayburn)
- Demanded written answers to five questions by February 20, 2026:
 - a. Full list of tracked Members
 - b. Purpose of surveillance and how it was used at the Feb. 11 hearing
 - c. Retention period of collected data
 - d. Number of staff assigned to monitoring
 - e. What additional information was collected beyond searches

DOJ response: The department acknowledged the logging practice, stating it "logs all searches made on its systems to protect against the release of victim information."

Physical review obstacles highlighted:

"If Members who signed the Epstein files discharge petition sat at each of the four computers DOJ has made available and reviewed files during every minute the review room is open, it would take us more

than seven years to check the redactions on the 3 million pages you have chosen to release."

**February 14, 2026 — Section 3 Report to Congress
(Bondi/Blanche)**

Document PDF: [EFTA Section 3 Report to Congress, Feb. 14, 2026](#)

BBC coverage: [BBC News](#)

Reuters: [Reuters Facebook report](#)

Sent to: Chairman Chuck Grassley (Senate Judiciary), Ranking Member Dick Durbin, Chairman Jim Jordan (House Judiciary), Ranking Member Jamie Raskin

As required by Section 3 of the EFTA within 15 days of the final production, this six-page letter included:

Records Released: All materials matching nine statutory categories (Epstein investigations; Maxwell; flight logs; named individuals; entities with trafficking ties; immunity deals; internal DOJ charging decisions; destruction/deletion of records; Epstein detention and death)

Records Withheld: Only those where privileged materials (deliberative-process privilege, work-product privilege, attorney-client privilege) were not segregable from responsive material. Explicitly: no records were withheld on the basis of embarrassment, reputational harm, or political sensitivity.

The "politically exposed persons" list: The letter included a list of approximately 340 names of all government officials and politically exposed persons named or referenced in the released materials. The DOJ cautioned

that these names appeared in "a wide variety of contexts" and that inclusion did not imply wrongdoing.

Selected names from the list (partial — the full list spans ~340 individuals across government, finance, entertainment, and international politics):

Political/Government	Financial/Business	International	Entertainment/Media
Trump, Donald	Gates, Bill	Andrew (Duke of York)	Beyonce
Clinton, Bill	Wexner, Les	Barak, Ehud	Jay Z
Clinton, Hillary	Schwarzman, Stephen	Mandelson, Peter	Mick Jagger
Biden, Joe	Black, Leon	Blair, Tony	Cosby, Bill
Obama, Barack	Lutnick, Howard	Netanyahu, Benjamin	Copperfield, David
Pence, Mike	Summers, Larry	Bin Sulayem, Sultan A.	Spacey, Kevin
Giuliani, Rudy	Soros, George	Stoltenberg, Jens	Springsteen, Bruce
Barr, William	Bezos, Jeff	Starmer, Keir	De Niro, Robert

Dershowitz, Alan	Zuckerberg, Mark	Elizabeth II	Bono
Comey, James	Musk, Elon	Prince Harry	Streisand, Barbara
Graham, Lindsey	Mnuchin, Steve	Thatcher, Margaret	Cher
Vance, JD	Thiel, Peter	Maxwell, Robert	Tucker, Chris
Blanche, Todd	Hoffman, Reid	Mandelson, Peter	Joplin, Janis*
Bondi, Pam	Diller, Barry	—	Presley, Elvis*

*Joplin (died 1970) and Elvis Presley (died 1977) were included, drawing immediate criticism that the list was useless without context. Rep. Khanna wrote: *"To have Janis Joplin, who died when Epstein was 17, in the same list as Larry Nassar, who went to prison for the sexual abuse of hundreds of young women and child pornography, with no clarification of how either was mentioned in the files is absurd."*

Controversy: Critics from both parties argued the undifferentiated list was designed to "muddy the waters" by placing co-conspirators alongside completely innocent individuals mentioned in passing, making it impossible to identify who posed actual criminality.

February 24, 2026 — NPR Investigation: Withheld Files on Trump Accusations

NPR Report: DOJ removed, withheld Epstein files related to accusations against Trump

Times of Israel summary: Times of Israel

NPR's Stephen Fowler reported that the publicly available Epstein database was missing **dozens of pages** related to allegations of sexual abuse against President Trump. Key findings:

- An FBI email summarizing tips about Trump — released publicly in January — mentioned one credible allegation: a woman who claimed Trump sexually assaulted her as a minor (~1983) while she was being victimized by Epstein
- The FBI interviewed this accuser **four times** in 2019; only **one** of those interview summaries (a 302 form) was in the public database — and that one made no mention of Trump
- Bates stamp serial number analysis showed gaps suggesting **at least 50 missing pages**
- A DOJ internal presentation from August 2025 (released in the fifth drop) had a slide titled "prominent names" listing Trump at the top, with two allegations against him — including one involving sexual abuse of a 13–15 year old
- CNN independently confirmed the NPR findings

The DOJ's internal presentation excerpt (as reported):

"[Redacted] stated Epstein introduced her to Trump who subsequently forced her head down to his exposed penis which she subsequently bit. In response, Trump punched her in the head and kicked her out."

White House response: Karoline Leavitt called the claims "entirely baseless accusations, supported by no credible evidence." The DOJ directed inquiries to its earlier statement calling the allegations "untrue and sensationalist claims... submitted to the FBI right before the 2020 election."

Rep. Robert Garcia stated the Oversight Committee's Democrats had confirmed the DOJ "appears to have illegally withheld FBI interviews with this survivor" and opened an investigation.

March 4–5, 2026 — House Oversight Votes to Subpoena Bondi; Sixth File Release

PBS coverage: House committee votes to subpoena Bondi

Al Jazeera: US committee subpoenas Attorney General Pam Bondi

On **March 4**, the House Oversight Committee voted **24-19** to subpoena Bondi for deposition, with **five Republicans** joining Democrats. The motion was introduced by Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC), a Republican. This represented a significant cross-party rebuke of the sitting attorney general.

Simultaneously on **March 5**, the DOJ released **16 previously withheld pages** of Epstein files, including the three missing FBI 302 reports containing the Trump sexual assault allegations. The DOJ attributed the omission to files being "incorrectly coded as duplicative."

Contents of the sixth release included:

- Three FBI 302 reports (interview summaries) from 2019 describing a woman's allegations that Trump sexually assaulted her as a minor when she was 13-15 years old
- Multiple versions of Florida federal prosecutor memos from 2007 urging swift Epstein prosecution
- Several hundred additional pages of witness interviews

DOJ disclaimer: The department noted the allegations were "uncorroborated" and had been deemed by the DOJ as containing "false and sensationalist claims against President Trump."

March 9, 2026 — Whitehouse Letter to Bondi and Patel on Records Preservation

Document PDF: [Whitehouse to Bondi & Patel, March 9, 2026](#)

Senate press release: [whitehouse.senate.gov](https://www.whitehouse.gov/senate-press-release)

Sent by Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse (Ranking Member, Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on Federal Courts) to AG Bondi and FBI Director Kash Patel, this letter:

- Demanded formal preservation of all records related to the DOJ's compliance with the EFTA with respect to the Trump sexual assault allegations
- Noted that even after the March 5 sixth release, **37 pages** of records — including FBI agent notes from interviews — remained unpublished
- Invoked the Federal Records Act and warned that violations are "subject to criminal prosecution"

"DOJ has not produced to Congress all records related to Jeffrey Epstein as the Act requires, including documents related to sexual assault allegations made against President Trump in 2019."

March 17, 2026 — Comer Issues Formal Subpoena to Bondi

House Oversight release: Chairman Comer Issues Subpoena to AG Bondi

CNN: House Oversight subpoenas Bondi

Following the March 4 committee vote, Chairman James Comer formally issued the subpoena on March 17. The subpoena required Bondi to appear for a **deposition on April 14, 2026**. Separately, Bondi and Blanche were scheduled to provide a closed-door briefing to committee members on March 18.

In the subpoena cover letter, Comer wrote: *"As Attorney General, you hold direct accountability for supervising the Department's processes for collecting, reviewing, and deciding on the release of files in accordance with the Epstein Files Transparency Act. Therefore, the Committee believes you have significant insights into these matters."*

April 2, 2026 — Trump Fires Bondi; Blanche Named Acting AG

CNN: Trump fires Pam Bondi as AG

Reuters: Trump fires Pam Bondi

BBC: BBC Live Coverage

Politico: Bondi isn't off the hook yet for Epstein testimony

Axios: Lawmakers vow to force Bondi to testify

President Trump announced via Truth Social that Bondi would be "transitioning to a much-needed and important new job in the private sector," with DAG Blanche stepping in as acting attorney general. Multiple reports confirmed the dismissal was primarily driven by:

1. Trump's dissatisfaction with Bondi's handling of Epstein files (inability to make the controversy disappear)
2. DOJ's failure to successfully prosecute Trump's political adversaries

Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC), who had introduced the subpoena motion, stated: *"[Bondi] dealt with the Epstein Files poorly and significantly undermined President Trump."*

Democrats on the Oversight Committee pledged to proceed with the subpoena regardless of Bondi's departure:

- Rep. Garcia: *"She will not evade accountability and is still legally required to testify before our Committee under oath."*
- Rep. Khanna: *"Despite her termination, she is still obligated to respond to Congress regarding the outstanding documents, the lack of new prosecutions, and her involvement in a cover-up."*
- Rep. Mace confirmed: *"My subpoena remains in effect... I issued it by name, not in her capacity as the sitting Attorney General."*

Bondi's deposition was scheduled for **April 14, 2026**.

Summary: Key Controversies

1. The 6-Million-Page Gap

The DOJ identified 6+ million potentially responsive pages but released only ~3.5 million, claiming the remainder were duplicates or subject to privilege. No privilege log was provided to Congress within the statutory 15-day window, as required. Critics argued this was a continued statutory violation.

2. Redactions of Named Individuals

Massie and Khanna found at least six men — including at least one senior foreign government official — whose names were redacted in the public files but visible in unredacted versions. The DOJ defended some redactions as law-enforcement sensitive but disputed the lawmakers' characterizations in a public dispute involving Blanche accusing Khanna of naming "random people."

3. The Congressional Surveillance Scandal

The DOJ tracked the specific search queries and documents reviewed by Members of Congress during their in-person file reviews — and used that data to prepare counter-attack materials for AG Bondi during the February 11 congressional hearing. The practice was condemned bipartisanly, including by House Speaker Mike Johnson.

4. Withheld Trump Accusation Files

The DOJ initially released only 1 of 4 FBI 302 reports from a woman who accused Trump of sexual assault as a minor. The remaining 3 reports — all of which named Trump — were released only after investigative reporting by NPR (Feb. 24) and sustained congressional pressure. As of the March 9

Whitehouse letter, **37 pages** remain unreleased, including FBI agent interview notes. The DOJ attributed the initial omission to files being "incorrectly coded as duplicative."

5. The "Politically Exposed Persons" List Controversy

The February 14 Section 3 report included a list of ~340 named individuals without any context explaining their relevance. The list includes deceased historical figures (Janis Joplin, Elvis Presley), serious Epstein associates (Les Wexner, Alan Dershowitz), and individuals mentioned in passing in news articles. Critics argued this was an intentional "muddying of the waters" designed to dilute accountability by burying co-conspirators in noise.

6. Bondi's Firing

Bondi was fired on April 2, 2026, reportedly primarily over her mishandling of the Epstein files and her failure to protect Trump from the attendant political fallout. Her deputy, Todd Blanche — who had himself served as Trump's personal defense attorney in the documents case and the 2020 election case before joining DOJ — became acting attorney general.

Document Index with PDF Links

Document	Date	Author(s)	Link
DOJ First Section 3 Letter to Congress	Dec. 19, 2025	Todd Blanche	<u>PDF</u>

Blumenthal et al. OIG Audit Request	Dec. 24, 2025	Blumenthal, Murkowski, Merkley + 9 senators	<u>PDF</u>
DOJ January 30 Letter to Congress (Fifth Release)	Jan. 30, 2026	Bondi & Blanche	<u>PDF</u>
Raskin Letter to Blanche (Unredacted Access Request)	Jan. 31, 2026	Rep. Jamie Raskin	<u>PDF</u>
House Oversight Contempt Report re: Bondi	Jan. 21, 2026	House Oversight Committee	<u>PDF</u>
Raskin/Garcia/Jayapal Surveillance Letter	Feb. 13, 2026	Raskin, Garcia, Jayapal	<u>PDF</u>
EFTA Section 3 Report to Congress (Final)	Feb. 14, 2026	Bondi & Blanche	<u>PDF</u>
Whitehouse Records Preservation Letter	Mar. 9, 2026	Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse	<u>PDF</u>

House Oversight Subpoena (Comer to Bondi)	Mar. 17, 2026	Rep. James Comer, House Oversight	<u>Overview</u>
--	---------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------

Key Political Figures: Role Summary

Figure	Role	Position on Transparency
Pamela Bondi	AG; primary official responsible for EFTA compliance	Claimed full compliance; fired April 2, 2026 over Epstein mismanagement
Todd Blanche	DAG; now Acting AG; former Trump personal attorney	Defended DOJ's releases; claimed no political redactions
Jamie Raskin	House Judiciary Ranking Member (D-MD)	Major oversight critic; led requests for unredacted access
Thomas Massie	House (R-KY); EFTA co-author	Bipartisan EFTA champion; accused DOJ of "breaking the law"
Ro Khanna	House (D-CA); EFTA co-author	Reviewed unredacted files; named six individuals on House floor; threatened contempt proceedings

Robert Garcia	House Oversight Ranking Member (D-CA)	Led investigation into withheld Trump accusation files
Nancy Mace	House Oversight (R-SC)	Introduced subpoena motion against Bondi; cheered her firing
Richard Blumenthal	Senate (D-CT)	Led bipartisan OIG audit request
Lisa Murkowski	Senate (R-AK)	Bipartisan co-signer of OIG audit request; previously warned Bondi publicly
Sheldon Whitehouse	Senate Judiciary Subcommittee Ranking Member (D-RI)	Records preservation letter; ongoing investigation
Pramila Jayapal	House (D-WA)	Subject of DOJ's congressional surveillance; co-signer of Feb. 13 letter
Kash Patel	FBI Director	Tasked by Bondi with investigating FBI's initial incomplete document production

James Comer	House Oversight Chairman (R-KY)	Issued formal subpoena; subsequently equivocal on enforcement after Bondi's firing
--------------------	---------------------------------	--

News Coverage Index

Outlet	Coverage	Date
<u>NPR — DOJ withheld Trump accusation files</u>	Investigative report on withheld 302s	Feb. 24, 2026
<u>NPR — Bondi hearing/DOJ oversight</u>	Feb. 11 Judiciary Committee hearing	Feb. 11, 2026
<u>NYT — Bondi testimony</u>	Bondi House Judiciary testimony	Feb. 11, 2026
<u>PBS — Sixth release</u>	March 5 FBI 302s release	Mar. 6, 2026
<u>Reuters — FBI 302s release</u>	Analysis of the sixth release	Mar. 6, 2026
<u>ABC News — FBI 302s explained</u>	Content of the Trump accusation reports	Mar. 6, 2026
<u>PBS — Bondi subpoena vote</u>	Oversight Committee vote	Mar. 4, 2026

<u>Al Jazeera — Bondi subpoena</u>	International coverage	Mar. 5, 2026
<u>CNN — Massie/Khanna press conference</u>	Six redacted men disclosed	Feb. 9, 2026
<u>Axios — Massie/Khanna six men</u>	Details on six "likely incriminated" men	Feb. 9, 2026
<u>BBC — Bondi firing</u>	Live coverage of firing	Apr. 2, 2026
<u>Politico — Post-firing subpoena status</u>	Ongoing subpoena after firing	Apr. 2–3, 2026
<u>LA Times — Why Trump fired Bondi</u>	Analysis/opinion on Bondi firing	Apr. 3, 2026
<u>BBC — Section 3 letter coverage</u>	Bondi Feb. 14 report criticism	Feb. 15, 2026
<u>Wikipedia — EFTA full timeline</u>	Comprehensive reference	Ongoing

Report compiled April 4, 2026. Status of Bondi deposition (scheduled April 14, 2026) and status of 37 missing pages of FBI interview records remain pending as of publication.